P.F. 11 of 1897.

G. R. S. IX-5]

Previous references. 1894-1895

File No. 29 of 87

192 .

(L. R.)

File No. 92 of 94

Serial Nos. 1—9

Later references.

File No. 317 of 1901

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. 1—9

Major ~~Part~~ B for July 1897

SUBJECT.

Appeal of Krishnappa regarding the water-rate of his lands in the Chikka Arlaque Village

---

WD 223—GPB—20,000—14-6-99

Countermarked

B&R

Mahf 183 of 89

(1)

Gen. Serial No. 32.]

1895

L.R. 92-94-1

No. 2789 ~~L.R. 9-95~~

Name of Petitioner. Jaccaiah Kathiah

Village. Chikkaleppa Jodidar of

Taluk. Kasaba

District. Bangalore

Date of Arzi. 10-4-95

Date of Receipt. 22-4-95

Date of Disposal.

No. of Enclosures. 7

ABSTRACT.

Petition that he had preferred an appeal to the Joint Commissioner against the order in Settlement No. 7 about his being entitled in 1888 (Fasli) the water of channel of Chikkaleppa and subsidiary channel of the Hosur village of Chikkaleppa Dyavachetti - that the Joint Commissioner in his order dated 10-9-89 in dismissing his appeal stated that he was entitled to ~~[illegible]~~ consideration in the appeal against the order that since the order the Collector of the village of Chikkaleppa to the water of the channel he was entitled to the appellant has village

3

to clap the said lands as well - and that if they are justified in doing so what source of water supply they would point out.

Pray that taking the above facts into consideration Equitable orders may be passed in the matter.

28/4

The Endorsement of the Dy. Comr. of 7-2-95 is as follows.

If you have any objection to the assessment fixed by the Survey Department you should represent to the authorities superior to him

(i.e. 88% of 50 & 50) & therefore liable to water rate, he urges that under the Govt. decision ~~of~~ above referred to ~~lands~~ these lands are entitled to free irrigation from the tank.

Subd. to the Rev. Commissioner

16/5

Call for reports from Dep. Comr.

18/5/95

had been granted - that in performance of
the above order the board, constituted thus
granted. that when the complaints were filed to
the post village quite 25 from the city were called
on and were asked with a disregard of the
that he had applied for a copy of the portraits to
know the course of the supply of the above land,
which are called the portraits the disregard
represents that by force. that in reply the board
left from the judgement and effect
that the above case was defending upon certain that
his ~~[illegible]~~ in conformity with the judgement granted
by post. that at the time when the possession office inquired
into the charge contained in the statement in reply to the village
officers which were received were delivered to him. he
submitted that the judgement granted by post was a statement
for which was the proper appeal - that the above office
without giving reasons and upon the judgement in question
to which the complainants in reply to the complaint specified a report
of disturbance to the effect that he did not recognize the
appeal by stating the rule of the course the channel - and that
that the said post judgement had no reference to the post village -
that he is at a loss to know the complainants are entitled to
have the above case, where with, that was terminated, ~~[illegible]~~ suspended
not and charged into the government without permission the course
of the matter fully to the and copy. that it depends upon certain
that he had submitted a petition to the Board of the Commissioners
that a copy of the order to this effect to the judgement of post granted
referred to or to permit him to take the order fully - that the
received the Rs. ten - the decided claim on 7 July. that to appeal to the
office - that though the land is full three years yet they are not supplied
with the official account of such permanent land - that report of post officer
relating to the judgement granted to him cannot be detailed at
this time - that the case the order, part of which permission the below
the channel that supplies water to the [illegible] of post village - and that
to a loss to know the complaints are required [illegible] and charged
on and charged into the government [illegible] for the order fully upon petition -
that after the above case was passed ~~[illegible]~~ report claimed in the

Gen. Serial No. 30]

G. 6861
Pet 587 } 1895-96.

From The Dy Commissioner, Bangalore District

To The Chief Secretary to the Govt. Dewan of Mysore.
Genl. & Rev. Departments.

Dated 9th
Despatched 11th } March 1896

Received

Enclosures No. 1 & a file

General No.
D No. 868.

In reply to Govt. Offl. Memo No. Pet 1700 dated 23rd May 1895.

SUBJECT.

Reports on petition from Javarekere Kristappa Jodidar of Chikkalsere, Hosakote Taluk, complaining against the imposition of water rate on his wet land in that village.

References—(to be entered only in the Dewan's Office).

Pet
11-
Letted.
13/3

L.R. 92-94-3

L.R. 70-95-2

Submitted with reference to the 2nd Councillor's order 18/5/95.

3/96
13

It seems that the Dy Comr shall his attention to the statement made by the petr that the water used was for wet land. This fact must be ascertained beyond dispute & the matter finally disposed of with reference to that finding. It is desirable that in all such matters petrs & others concerned are given an opportunity of proving their statement.

21/3/96

Noted
AS 24/3

Pet 1700

P(2) 30/5

MYSORE DEWAN'S OFFICE.

PETITION DEPARTMENT.

~~L.R. 94-95-2~~

L.R. 92-94-2

OFFICIAL MEMORANDUM.

The accompanying Appeal Petition Registered No. 2789 dated 10-4-95 received at the Dewan's Office from Tavarekere Nithinappa Poddar of Chitaldrug, Hosdurg Taluk, appealing against the Commr's order [illegible] accepting [illegible] with the imposition of water rate on his wet lands in the Jodi village

Atom [illegible] [illegible]

is forwarded, together with its ~~enclosure~~, to the Deputy Commissioner of the Bangalore District for a full report of the facts of the case and on the points urged in the petition.

2. The petition with its enclosure should be returned.

By order,

Draft [illegible] 28/5

[illegible] Chief Secretary.

BANGALORE,

23-5-1895

30

Rondy [illegible] 28/5

M.G.C.P.B.—25-4-95—1,000

D. No. 868.
Eus

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER'S OFFICE,
BANGALORE DISTRICT.

The 9th March 1896

From
The Deputy Commissioner,
Bangalore District.

To
The Secretary to the Government of Mysore
Revenue Department.

SIR

With reference to Government Official Memo No. Pt. 1700 dated 23rd May 1895, forwarding for report a petition from Jaware Kristappa Jodidar of Chikkarjere Hoskote Talak complaining against the imposition of water rate on his wet land in that village, I have the honor to state that a fine of Rs 2/- was imposed on him for using water from the Government Dodarjere tank water for cultivating a portion of his dry land measuring 24 guntas. He contends that the imposition was contrary to the Government endorsement No. 183 dated 16th December 1889 (enclosed in the accompanying correspondence). This order is however inapplicable to the present case as the remission was not granted by the Government for utilizing the water of the Dodarjere Tank.

2. The 2nd General Assistant Commissioner's No. 706 dated 18th instant in the matter is also enclosed.

I have the honor to be,

Sir

Your most obedient servant,

Deputy Commissioner.

1896

(5) 11

~~L.R. 90-95-4~~

L.R. 92-94-4

To.

The Deputy Commissioner
By Post

Dated
Despd 30 26th March/96

No 5545/1778 Inc one Ven file with petn & ann

His letter No 268 dated 9th March 1896 stating with reference to the petition of Ramanathan Kasinathapillai complaining of the levy of water rate on his wet land at Chunnakam, that a fine of Rs 2 was imposed on petitioner for having used Dodavalaiyan Tanki water to irrigate a portion of his dry land.

In returning the records received with the above, has the honor to draw the Dy Commr's attention to the statement made by the petitioner that the water used was for irrigating his wet land and to request that this fact may be

1778

16

1896

No. 224

Name of Petitioner, Javarehare Krishnappa Poddar of

Village, Chikkharadapure

Taluk, Hoskote

District, Bangalore

Date of Arzi, 10-7-96

Date of Receipt, 15-7-96

Date of Disposal,

No. of Enclosure, Nil

ABSTRACT.

States that formerly water-rate had been levied on the wet-lands in his poti village of Chikkharadapure and Kayamgutta village of Dyavasandra - that on this he appealed to the Dewan who cancelled the water-rate on the promise that when the village was made Kayamgutta the realisation of wet-land had been included in the gutta amount and that the poddar was responsible for the construction and repair of the tank that the Survey authorities without granting him copy of solar patta granted the lands which he had been taking [illegible] from time immemorial to the vri of Sammadasa nahalli contrary to the that on this he memorialised to H.H. Maharani in the matter - that he the appealed to post submitting the [illegible]

07/7/96. was sent to D.C. Bangalore put up

9

~~J.R. 90-95~~

J.R. 92-94-5

Pls see put up

10/7

Perhaps non-pl. inf. Dept officer having connection with the tank the refs noted on pet. No. 3214 perhaps have some connection with those they been returned by the inmediate?

Please put up General No. 6861/581 of 9-3-96 from D.C. Bangalore with no reference after sent to the 2nd ar, 3.96. with orders to return the to D.C.

12/7

No refs to above are found in the Instances

13/7 This may be forwarded to the Dy. Comr. for early disposal with reference to Govt. Proceedings No. 8848/1778 of 28/3/96.

Draft O. Dy. Comr. according

14/96

27/7

Draft approved 27.7.

founded on his memorial together with numerous orders of Govt cancelling the levy of water rate on his lands. That in reply he was informed by Govt that the Dy. Commr. had been written to and the proceedings connected with the case that 7 or 8 months have elapsed since this that subsequently he submitted another petition to Govt. submitting the statements of Kadim Chambhogand Toti stating that water rate had never been levied on lands in the Jodi village of the Kharolapore - that as his appeal is still pending disposal he is obliged to pay the Jodi amount by allowing his 9-25 of land to lie waste - that his wet land is being washed by the water of the channel which supplies water to Tammarsamballi lands and also by that of the Kodi and Jungle - and that the authorities do not take his difficulties into consideration but that they are very particular in the recovery of the Jodi amount from him. Prays that on perusal of the records he may be saved from loss and ruin.

NK
18/7.

ascertained beyond dispute and the matter finally disposed of with reference to that finding. It is desirable that in all such matters, petitioners and others concerned are given an opportunity of proving their statement

'By order'

C

2.6.13 1906

Secretary

Redraft

R 26/3

Pet-
187

30-7-96

MYSORE DEWAN'S OFFICE.

PETITION DEPARTMENT. 18

~~L.R. 90-95-6~~

L.R. 92-94-6

OFFICIAL MEMORANDUM.

The accompanying Petition No. 224 dated 10th July 1896 received at the Dewan's Office from Nanjarkere Krishnappa complaining of the levy of water rate on his ~~wet~~ land in Chikkanahalli village in the Hoskote Taluk -

is forwarded, ~~together with its enclosures~~, to the Deputy Commissioner of the Bangalore District ~~for early disposal~~ with reference to Govt. Proceedings No. 8848/1778 dated 26th March 1896

By order,

Draft

for ~~Finance~~ Secretary.

BANGALORE,
30-7-1896

18th
27.7.96

Record keeper
Please see if the reply now
put up complete & arrange
the papers properly.
23/7/97

Put together & connected papers
1338
are here & the files are also
arranged.

Submitted office
24/7/97 this is an complete file & the papers made up

On the Dy Comr's report no 889
of 9/3/96, the papers were
returned to the Dy Comr for
final disposal after having
the petr & recovery any entire
he may produce with the reference
to the Contention that walls
was levied on land others
are restored to it.

Subsequent petn were forwarded
to the Dy Comr with intimation
of the above. In the last petn
petr represented that the
Dy Comr had not the power of
discretion & this was hinted
out to the Dy Comr
They the Dy Comr be requested
to state whether he took
the action he has
Dy Comr. In the end
of petr's case
modified by petr the Dy Comr has
rejected petr's petn in nothing
written on state-matter paper
but independently of this petr was entitled
to an order from the Dy Comr
30/7/97 and to Secretary (with
reference to the query on the
Deputy Secretary's sheet)

189 7

No. 149 R. 95 19

Name of Petitioner T. Krishnappa, Patel

Village Chikkarajegowda Dyavasam

Taluk Hosakote

District Bangalore

Date of Arzi 6-4-97

Date of Receipt 14-

Date of Disposal

No. of Enclosure one

ABSTRACT.

(The petition is addressed to the the Mahzari Report)

Petitioner states as follows:—

In connection with the Patel village, he is put to much trouble by the authorities. During the last three years, he sold all his gold and silver ornaments. He realized nearly 300 Rupees by the sale, and vainly paid the amount. He submitted 200 or 250 petitions praying that he might be freed from the trouble he was put to. No just orders have been passed on them. The last petition to the Deputy Commissioner he submitted, was returned on the ground that it was not written on stamped paper.

is quite unable to pay off ~~[illegible]~~ his due as he has hitherto vainly done.

He prays that an order similar to that issued from the Survey Department, or to order No 183 issued by the former Dewan, may be passed in the matter.

He adds that a certain garden land belonging to the petitioner was taken off by the Government. The Taluk authorities promised to give him some other land for it. The land selected by him has been given to another applicant.

He further prays that orders may be passed directing not to demand from him the two instalments of poi arrears pending disposal of this case.

Respectfully

Raj
22/7

Pet
7/8/97

11-8-97

MYSORE DEWAN'S OFFICE.

PETITION DEPARTMENT.

L.R. 90-95-8

L.R. 92-94-8

OFFICIAL MEMORANDUM.

The accompanying ~~Appeal~~ Petition Registered No. 149 dated 6-4-97 received at the Dewan's Office from J. Krishnappa, Jodidar of Chikkanalagere and Devarasandra villages in the Hoskote Tq, complaining of the non disposal of his several petitions regarding the levya water rate on his land in Chikkanalagere village, Hoskote Taluk

is forwarded, together with its one enclosure, to the Deputy Commr, Bangalore, in continuation of this office No. Pet 1338 dated 16-2-97, with the request that he would be so good as to state whether he has finally disposed of the petitioner's case and if so when and if not what delays to disposal.

2 It is requested that a very early reply on the above points may be submitted as also a clear report about the garden land referred to in the petition.

By order,

3 BANGALORE, The petitions with its enclosure should be returned.

Secretary,

11-8-97

By order

for Secretary

7/8/97

(General Serial No. 31.) Gl. 332

Rs 130

No. 189

19 JUL 1897

REVENUE DEPARTMENT DEWAN'S OFFICE

From The Private Secretary to H.H. the Maharaja Regent of Mysore Bangalore.

To The Revenue Secretary to the Govt of Mysore Bangalore.

Dated 5th July 1897.

Despatched

Received

Enclosures Number. Five Pkts

General No. 11

No.

vide petn 149 of 97-98

L.R. 92-94-9

Docket No. ~~Reply not available~~

8 Pkts dated 12th April 96

3 Pkts dated 12th Dec 96

Referring to the marginally noted docket from this office

Has the honour to enclose for disposal under Petn Regd No 33 dated 15th April 97 addressed to His Highness the Maharaja C.S. Regent of Mysore

By order

Col:

Private Secretary

Is this still pending if not why has it not been communicated to the Private Secretary?

(S) G

It is not pending. The petn has been sent to the Revenue for early disposal. Vide Revenue Dept Note 15/2/97

Petn has been put with the docket. Revenue should write to Private Secy. 12/7/97

Central Jail Press, Bangalore.—10-2-95.—5,002.